Git's Content Addressable Storage

COS 316: Principles of Computer System Design

Amit Levy & Ravi Netravali



Last time: UNIX File System Layers



The block layer organizes disk into fixed-sized (4KB), numbered "blocks"

Figure 1: UNIX File System Layers

• The block layer names blocks based on the order in which they appear on disk

- The block layer names blocks based on the order in which they appear on disk
- The file layer names files based on where to find their blocks

- The block layer names blocks based on the order in which they appear on disk
- The file layer names files based on *where* to find their blocks
- The inode number layer gives files names that correspond to their block number or *location* within an inode table

- The block layer names blocks based on the order in which they appear on disk
- The file layer names files based on *where* to find their blocks
- The inode number layer gives files names that correspond to their block number or *location* within an inode table
- The absolute path name layer provides the *location* of the root directory

- The block layer names blocks based on the order in which they appear on disk
- The file layer names files based on *where* to find their blocks
- The inode number layer gives files names that correspond to their block number or *location* within an inode table
- The absolute path name layer provides the *location* of the root directory

- The block layer names blocks based on the order in which they appear on disk
- The file layer names files based on *where* to find their blocks
- The inode number layer gives files names that correspond to their block number or *location* within an inode table
- The absolute path name layer provides the *location* of the root directory

Location-based naming scheme

- UNIX File System takes a location-centric view of the data it stores
 - Point is: where on disk can I find this data I care about?
- When might this view be insufficient?

- UNIX File System takes a location-centric view of the data it stores
 - Point is: where on disk can I find this data I care about?
- When might this view be insufficient?
- Today: Git as a lens for:
 - How location-based names fall short
 - How content-based names can help

Version Control Overview



A Brief History of Version Control

Local version control

- 1972: Source Code Control System (SCCS) developed by early UNIX developers
- 1982: Revision Control System (RCS) developed by GNU project

Client/Server Centralized Version Control

- 1986: Concurrent Versions System (CVS) developed as front-end to RCS to collaborate on Amsterdam Compiler Kit at Vrije University
- 2000: Subversion (SVN) a redesign of CVS widely used by open source projects

Distributed Version Control

- 2000: BitKeeper developed to address Linux's distributed and large community development model
- 2005: Git & Mercurial developed concurrently to replace BitKeeper after BitMover starts charging open source projects.



Figure 2: Centralized Version Control

Centralized Version Control

- Central server holds "canonical" version of each file
- Files committed and versioned independently
- Typically only one or a few checkouts of a file
- Conflicts between developers expected to be rare
- All versioning and conflict resolution mediated by the server

Centralized Version Control

- Central server holds "canonical" version of each file
- Files committed and versioned independently
- Typically only one or a few checkouts of a file
- Conflicts between developers expected to be rare
- All versioning and conflict resolution mediated by the server

Main role: efficiently store versions of the same file and coordinate updates to individual files.

Centralized Version Control

- Central server holds "canonical" version of each file
- Files committed and versioned independently
- Typically only one or a few checkouts of a file
- Conflicts between developers expected to be rare
- All versioning and conflict resolution mediated by the server

Main role: efficiently store versions of the same file and coordinate updates to individual files.

UNIX file system is a pretty good match!

Linux development model



- Are the set of files in the canonical version collectively valid?
- Not egalitarian: What if we don't want just one "central" server?
 - P2P collaboration, hierarchical, etc...
- What happens if the data on the central server is corrupted?

Two important differences from centralized:

- 1. No inherent "canonical" version
- 2. Unit of a commit is a complete source code tree
 - Each "version" represents a state that *some* developer intended at *some* time
 - Versioning *files* is incidental



Figure 3: Distributed Version Control

Distributed Version Control Workflow Example



How would we do this with the UNIX file system?

How would we do this with the UNIX file system?

We need a simple way to succinctly *name* files, trees, commits, etc such that we can easily compare them.

We need to efficiently store and transmit many versions of source code tree. Most files in each version will be unchanged.

• A succinct summary of the content

- A succinct summary of the content
- that's unique for different content

- A succinct summary of the content
- that's unique for different content
- and the same for the same content

- A succinct summary of the content
- that's unique for different content
- and the same for the same content

- A succinct summary of the content
- that's unique for different content
- and the same for the same content

Cryptographic hash functions maps arbitrary size data to a fixed-sized bit-string that is:

- Deterministic
- Computationally "hard" to generate a message that yields a *specific* hash value
- Computationally "hard" to find two messages with the same hash value
- Similar messages have dissimilar hashes

Git Internals

Layer Purpose

Object layerStores objects in a content-addressable storeTree layerOrganizes "blobs" into a directory-like hierarchyCommit layerVersions the tree layerReference layerProvides human-readable names for trees, blobs, commits

Similar to UNIX file system layers, but uses content-based names instead of location-based names.



Figure 5: Git's Layers

"Objects" are the basic storage unit in Git, similar to blocks in the UNIX file system. *All data is stored as objects.*

Names

- The SHA-1 hash of the object's content: 40-byte string in hex (160-bits)
- aa8074278ed2c4803a2a545f277d1e0afe5039c3

Values

- Blobs: similar to files
- Trees: similar to directories
- Commits: points to tree and previous commit

Allocation

• Names "allocated" by taking the hash of the object content

Lookup

- Git uses the UNIX file system to store objects on disk
 - We need to translate to locations at *some* point
- Objects stored in a directory .git/objects.
- Filename is the 40-byte hex string of the object's name

Tree Layer

Similar to, and modeled after, directories in the UNIX file system:

Provide hierarchy of trees and blobs that can be traversed using human-meaningful names.



Figure 6: Git tree objects

Tree Layer

Names

Human-readable strings, just like in UNIX directories

Values

- Object name
- Object type
- Permissions (a subset of UNIX permissions)

Allocation

- Names are supplied by the user, just like in UNIX
- Generally, git mirrors an actual directory structure

Lookup

• Trees stored as a list of entries, similar to directories

\$ git cat-file -p 3914fbcc30ea8092034ca5ea4e6ebd0c887495df
100644 blob 96e87117fc618fc54a770bfc938405a29cca1fbb .gitignore
100644 blob 077b93358fba58cacc6acaf098baa317408aa16e Makefile
100644 blob 7addb405782f208c54f6d31182e173304ee117b9 README.md
040000 tree 303c20a830ce296d625fbf0fe4e4cd99fc33f3b1 http_router
040000 tree 85c17ff71ae5cfafcb1affebc4fbc1e8e67bd23c microblog-client
040000 tree a7dc7cfb0850fbfd4fcdf49310fd2e757cb42c08 microblog-server

The commit layer gives Git a way to express a version history of the source code tree. Commit objects contain

- A reference to the tree
- Metadata about the tree (the author of this version, when it was "committed", a message describing the changes from the previous version, etc...)
- A reference to the previous commit

Commit Layer

Names

- "Tree"
- "Parent"
- "Author"
- "Committer"
- "Commit message"

Values

- Object name of the tree
- Object name of the parent commit(s)
- Author/committer name and e-mail, and date committed
- Message as a string

Allocation

• Names don't need to be allocated because they are pre-determined

Lookup

• Commit objects have a defined format such that each name has a particular location in the object

Commits, trees, and blobs names not convenient for humans.

- Can't remember hashes
- Not useful for discovery
- Need some point of synchronization
 - e.g., how do we know which is the most recent commit?

References provide global, human readable names for objects

Names

- Human readable names: e.g. "master", "alevy/wip", "HEAD", etc

Values

• A commit name

Allocation

- Reference names are assigned and managed by users
- Some standard reference names by convention:
 - master: refers to the most recent "canonical" version of the source code
 - HEAD: refers to the most recently committed tree on the local repository
 - origin/*: refers to a reference on the "origin" repository, where this repository was cloned from

Lookup

- Stored as UNIX files in a special subdirectory of the .git directory
- Each reference is a file containing the name of the object they refer to

Distributed Version Control Workflow



29

Contrasting Location-based names & Content-based names

Both systems we looked at use layers of simple naming schemes.

- Makes reasoning easier
- UNIX File System
 - Blocks, files, inode numbers, directories, absolute path
- Git
 - Objects, blobs, trees, references
- Allow extensibility at multiple levels
 - Can re-use block layer for other storage systems, e.g. databases
- Allows portability at multiple levels
 - Can port files & directories to non-block storage

Both systems we looked at reuse mechanisms where possible

- UNIX file system
 - Stores everything in blocks: inodes, file data, file system metadata
 - Reuses inodes for files and directories
- Git
 - Stores everything in objects: blobs, trees, commits
 - Single naming allocation scheme: secure hash function

	Location-based names	Content-based names
Necessary	Yes!	Nope
Discovery	Easy	Hard
Decentralized	No	Yes
Integrity	Hard	Easy
Transactions	Hard	Easy

- Naming in Networking
- Assignment 1 due next Wednesday