Introduction to Caching



COS 316: Principles of Computer System Design Lecture 11

Amit Levy & Ravi Netravali



CPU connected directly to memory

How long to run this code?

- Characteristics
 - CPU Instructions & Register accesses: 0.5 ns (2 GHz)
 - Memory accesses: 50 ns

```
int arr[1000];
```

for (i = 0; i < arr.len(); i++) { ++arr[i]; }</pre>

	mov	r3,	#1000
loop:	ldr	r1,	[r0]
	subs	r3,	r3, #1
	add	r1,	r1, #1
	str	r1,	[r0], #4
	bne	<loop></loop>	

- 1. 2.5 microseconds (2,505 ns)
- 2. 250 microseconds (250,000 ns)
- 3. 101 microseconds (101,000.5 ns)

How long to run this code?

- Characteristics
 - CPU Instructions & Register accesses: 0.5 ns (2 GHz)
 - Memory accesses: 50 ns

```
int arr[1000];
```

for (i = 0; i < arr.len(); i++) { ++arr[i]; }</pre>

mov r3, #1000
loop: ldr r1, [r0]
 subs r3, r3, #1
 add r1, r1, #1
 str r1, [r0], #4
 bne <loop>

- 1. 2.5 microseconds (2,505 ns)
- 2. 250 microseconds (250,000 ns)
- 3. 101 microseconds (101,000.5 ns)

1*0.5 + 1000*(2*50 + 2*0.5) = 101,000.5 ns

Why not just make everything fast?

Туре	Access Time	Typical Size	\$/MB
Registers	< 0.5 ns	~256 bytes	\$1000
SRAM/"Cache"	5ns	1-4MB	\$100
DRAM/"Memory"	50ns	GBs	0.01
Solid state	$20\mu S$	TBs	0.0001
Magnetic Disk	5ms	10-100s TB	\$0.000001

High cost for fast storage (inverse relationship between cost and performance)!

A Solution: Caching

- Keep *all* data in bigger, cheaper, slower storage
- Keep *copies* of active data in smaller, more expensive, faster storage



What do we cache?

- Data stored verbatim in slower storage
- Previous computations recomputations are a kind of `slow storage'
- Examples
 - CPU memory hierarchy
 - File system page buffer
 - Domain Name System (DNS)
 - Content Distribution Networks (CDN)
 - Web browser caches
 - Database caches

How long to run this code?

- Characteristics
 - CPU Instructions & Register accesses: 0.5 ns (2 GHz)
 - CPU cache accesses: 5 ns
 - Memory accesses: 50 ns

```
mov r3, #1000
loop: ldr r1, [r0]
   subs r3, r3, #1
   add r1, r1, #1
   str r1, [r0], #4
   bne <loop>
```

It's complicated -- not enough info to answer this yet!

Evaluating cache effectiveness

- Hit: when a requested item was in the cache
- Miss: when a requested item was *not* in the cache
- Hit ratio and Miss ratio: proportion of hits and misses, respectively
- Hit time and Miss time: time to access item in the cache and not in the cache, respectively

When is caching effective?

• Which of these workloads could we cache effectively?



What influences cache effectiveness?

• Temporal locality: nearness in time

- Data accessed now was probably accessed recently
- Useful data tends to continue to be useful

• Spatial locality: nearness in name

- Data accessed now is "near" previously accessed data
- Memory addresses, files in the same directory, frames in a video, etc.

Effective access time

- Effective access time is a function of:
 - Hit and Miss ratio
 - Hit and Miss times

- t_{effective} = (hit_ratio)*t_{hit} + (1- hit_ratio) * t_{miss}
 - Also referred to as AMAT (Average Memory Access Time)

Characterizing a caching system

- Properties that affect what cache is suitable for and how to effectively use a cache
 - Effective access time
 - Look-aside vs. Look-through
 - Write-through vs. Write-back
 - Write-allocation
 - Eviction policy

Who handles misses?

• What happens when a requested item is not in the cache?



Look-aside



- Advantages: easy to implement, flexible
- Disadvantages: application handles consistency, can be slower on misses

Look-through



- Advantages: helps maintain consistency, simple to program against
- Disadvantages: harder to implement, less flexible

Handling Writes

- Caching creates a replica/copy of the data
- When you write, the data needs to be synchronized at some point
 - But when?

Write-through

- Write to backing store on every update
- Advantages
 - Cache and memory are always consistent
 - Eviction is cheap
 - Easy to implement
- Disadvantages
 - Writes are at least as slow as writes to the backing store

Write-back

• Update only in the cache; write to backing store only when evicting item from cache

• Advantages

- Writes always at cache speed
- Multiple writes to same item combined
- Batch writes of related items
- Disadvantages
 - More complex to maintain consistency
 - Eviction is more expensive

Write-allocate vs. Write-no-allocate

- When writing to items not currently in the cache, do we bring them into the cache?
- Yes == Write-allocate
 - Advantage: exploits temporal locality since written data is likely to be accessed again soon
- No == Write-no-allocate
 - Advantage: avoids spurious evictions if data is not accessed soon

Eviction policies

- Which items to evict from cache when we run out of space?
- Many algorithms!
 - Least Recently Used (LRU), Most Recently Used (MRU)
 - Least Frequently Used (LFU)
 - First-in-First-Out (FIFO), Last-In-First-Out (LIFO)
 - ...
- Deciding factors: workload and performance requirements

Challenges in Caching

- Speed: making the cache itself fast
- Cache Coherence: dealing with out-of-sync caches
- Performance: maximizing hit ratio
- Security: avoiding information leakage through the cache

Characterizing a Caching System

- Effective access time
- Look-aside vs. Look-through
- Write-through vs. Write-back
- Write-allocate vs. Write-no-allocate
- Eviction policy

Useful for designers of caches and application developers (using caches)!

Remainder of this section

- Caching in the CPU memory hierarchy
- CDN (Web) Caching
- Research: cache optimizations in mobile apps (compute and network)
- Next assignment: in-memory web application cache